

INFORMATION IN THE STRATEGY OF SHIPPING COMPANY

Bulov, Anatoly A. – D. Sc. (Economics), professor of Admiral Makarov State University of Maritime and Inland Shipping, St. Petersburg, Russia.

Sokolov, Sergey S. – Ph.D. (Tech.), Associate Professor of Admiral Makarov State University of Maritime and Inland Shipping, St. Petersburg, Russia.

Novoselov, Roman Yu. – student at Admiral Makarov State University of Maritime and Inland Shipping, St. Petersburg, Russia.

ABSTRACT

The article deals with mathematical models of strategic management of a shipping company in international transportation. Modeling guidelines make it possible to estimate the efficiency of the structural elements of the management system and individual transport units. The article provides an overview of functional capabilities of created infoware that is designed to automate the process of identifying main indicators of management activities and construction of resulting graphs.

ENGLISH SUMMARY

Background.

Efficiency of modern shipping company depends on many factors [1, 2], including the quality of accommodation, transport [3], warehousing, resource management, etc. [4]. Consideration of these factors directly affects the future of the company.

Due to the multifactorial nature of the issue of company's effective management and the need for operational decision-making information technologies come to aid [5, 6], which make it possible to automate many administrative processes [7–11].

One way to ensure stable functioning and development of a company is a strategic management, taken together with its own means and methods. In particular, mathematical models and their software implementation are of a great interest, as they help to give a comprehensive evaluation of the transport company in international cargo transportation. [12]

Strategic management of a transport company includes a series of calculations: identification of operational performance indicators, financial analysis, definition of competitiveness of operated units of transport, calculation of performance indicators of the investment project on company's development.

Mathematical relations and developed software, which are considered hereafter, concern specific example of strategic management of a transport company – management of a shipping company.

Objective.

The objective of the article is to demonstrate possibilities of information use, in particular software, for opitization of a shipping company's activity.

Methods.

The authors use mathematical methods, calculations and analysis.

Results.

In terms of determining the operational performance indicators of the fleet, following tasks are solved:

1. Determination of the number of round trips, made by a vessel for a predetermined period of operation, and volume of traffic on vessels.

2. Calculation of the total turnover of the company for all projects during the operation period.

3. Determination of freight rates and the level of

its profitability, time-charter equivalent and the prime cost of transportation.

4. Evaluation of breakeven of vessels, projects.

It should be noted that the prime cost of transport services is one of the main economic indicators characterizing the efficiency of production and business activities of a shipping company. Use of full prime cost indicators of transport services involves regulation and control of costs, determination of company's profits and becomes a starting point in choosing pricing (setting tariffs for the carriage of goods), is used to calculate cost estimates and targets for reducing prime cost of transport services, requirements plan for circulating asserts, as well as for economic justification of investments.

To determine breakeven operation of ships and construct the breakeven point, annual income (D_i) and annual operating costs (\mathcal{G}_i) are calculated, based on vessels, projects.

Revenues from transportation on each vessel are determined as:

 $D_i = G_i \times F_i$, долл., where долл. means USD.

Annual operating costs (\mathcal{P}_{i}) for navigation period for each vessel:

 $\mathcal{P}_i = G_i \times S_i, py \delta$., where and after руб. means RUB.

Annual fixed costs ((\mathcal{P}_{i}^{nocm}) for navigation period

are accepted as 30% of the annual operating costs, thus:

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{nocm} = j \times \mathcal{P}_i, py \delta.$$

Minimum volume of cargo transportation, providing breakeven operation of a transport company at specified freight rates, is calculated for each type of vessel:

$$G_{\min} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_i^{mum}}{F_i - (S_i \times (1 - j))}, m$$

Here j - coefficient of fixed costs.

After identifying minimum volume of freight transportation, vessel's utilization coefficient and minimum income (D_{\min}) are calculated, which allow setting the maximum permissible values of the vessel's performance.

$$D_{min} = G_{min} \times F_i$$

At the same time, vessel's utilization coefficient (K_{u}) is determined

 $K_u = \frac{G_{min}}{G_i}.$

The best project is selected, basing the lowest volume of freight transportation by a vessel (G_{min}), which ensures breakeven operation. The analysis is carried out; breakeven points are built on vessels, projects.

Financial analysis consists of the following sequence of actions:

1. Analysis of financial condition and construction of the matrix of the balance sheet of the company.

• МИР ТРАНСПОРТА 03'14

2. Determination of financial indicators of the company

Financial indicators include three groups: liquidity, business activity, use of resources.

The indicators characterizing solvency include indicators (ratios) of liquidity: absolute liquidity, quick assets, current liquidity, and financial stability.

Absolute liquidity ratio indicates how much of the short-term debt the organization is able to repay in the near future, which is one of the conditions of solvency.

It is usually assumed that for most businesses the current liquidity ratio may be at level 2, as price for current assets could be reduced by 50%, but, nevertheless, a sufficient safety margin will remain to cover current liabilities.

Quick assets ratio reflects the projected solvency of the company subject to the timely transactions with debtors. Quick assets ratio is a more strict estimation of company's liquidity. It is calculated using only a portion of current assets - cash, securities and receivables, which are compared with current liabilities.

The next step of indicators' calculation at the strategic management of a shipping company, operating in international transportation, is to determine the competitiveness of vessels.

Competetiveness of vessels refers to the ability to create and maintain its competitive advantages, ensuring quality and efficiency of transportation and transport services, being in demand, in a particular market seament.

Feature of evaluation of vessels' competitiveness is that, by analogy with the products of the shipbuilding industry, primarily internal competitive advantages of transport are taken into account that are created during its construction and reflect the potential of customer value for the shipping company.

On the other hand, the competitiveness of the vessel which generates products is provided by external competitive advantages, reflecting demand in potential consumer value of a vessel in certain operating conditions.

Thus, competiteveness of a vessel is considered as a competitive strategy - potential of customer value for the shipping company and tactical - the relevance of this potential in the segment of the market for transport services.

Indicators of tactical competitiveness of a vessel.

1. Performance of a service (F,) is defined as the sum of the products of vessel's loading rates in forward and reverse directions ($Q_{_{300}}$ and $Q_{_{300}}$) and operational speed of the vessel in the forward and reverse directions (V_{enp} and V_{eofp}): F1= Q3 np ×Ve np + Q3 ofp ×Ve ofp [tkm/vessel-

day]

2. Specific revenues (F2) are fixed as a ratio of the product of average freight rate (f cp) and vesell's loading rate in forward and reverse directions (Qэ пр + Qэ обр) to the vesell's turnaround time (toб) in days:

$$F_2 = \frac{f_{cp} \times (Q_{_{3Kp}} + Q_{_{3o\delta p}})}{t_{_{o\delta}}} \left[\frac{py\delta}{cy\partial o - cym\kappa u} \right].$$

3. Prime cost of providing services (F3) is displayed as the ratio of vessel's maintenance in running condition (Cx) to performance of a service (F1).

$$\begin{split} F_{3} &= \frac{C_{x}}{F_{1}} \left[\frac{py\delta}{m\kappa M} \right], \\ C_{x} &= \frac{\partial_{o\delta}}{t_{o\delta}} \left[\frac{py\delta}{cy\partial o - cym\kappa u} \right] \end{split}$$

where Эоб – costs for vesell's turnaround.

4. Marginal yield (F4) is determined as the ratio of time-charter equivalent (T49) to the vessel/s tonnage (Qp):

$$F_4 = \frac{T \Psi \mathcal{P}}{Q_p} \left[\frac{p y \delta}{m o H a \varkappa e - c y m \kappa u} \right].$$

5. Carrying capacity of a vessel (F5) acts as a product of vesell's loading rates in forward and reverse directions ($Q \ni np + Q \ni o \delta p$) by the number of turnarounds, performed by that vessel (no6):

F5 = поб × (Qэ пр + Qэ обр) [тонн].

6. Specific profit from a service (F6) is considered as a ratio of gross profit (Пв) from the use of the vessel to tonnage (Qp), i. e. profit, attributable to one ton of cargo.

Indicators of strategic competitiveness of a vessel.

1. Specific price of a vessel (P,) is determined as the ratio of the construction cost of a vessel (K) to register tonnage (Q,):

$$P_1 = \frac{K_c}{Q_p} \left[\frac{\partial OЛЛ. США}{m. тоннажa} \right].$$

2. Specific price of one gross ton (P₂) is the ratio of the construction cost of the vessel (K) to net register tonnage- рег. т. (W_{рег.т.}), 1 рег. т =2,83 m³.

$$P_{2} = \frac{K_{c}}{W_{per.m}} \left[\frac{\partial onl.CIIIA}{per.m}\right].$$

3. Specific module of a vessel (P₂) is calculated as a ratio of the product of length (L), width (B) and depth of a boar side (\mathbf{H}) of a vessel to register tonnage (Q_{n}):

$$P_{3} = \frac{L \times B \times H}{Q_{p}} \left[\frac{M^{3}}{m.mohhamma} \right].$$

4. Specific power of propulsion unit of a vessel (P,) is determined as the ratio of engine power of a vessel (N) to register tonnage of a vessel (Q):

$$P_4 = \frac{N}{Q_p} \left[\frac{\Lambda.C.}{m.mohhamma} \right].$$

5. Specific prime cost for maintenance of 1 ton of tonnage per day without fuel (P₅) is the ratio of the prime cost of the vessel's maintenance per day without fuel ($\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$) to register tonnage of a vessel ($Q_{\mathbf{x}}$):

$$\mathbf{P}_{5} = \frac{C_{0}}{Q_{\delta}} \left[\frac{\partial O \Lambda . C I I A}{m O H A \partial \kappa e - c y m \kappa u} \right].$$

6. Time costs for 1 km run of a vessel with cargo (P_{α}) is a ratio of register tonnage of a vessel (Q_{α}) to the vessel's speed at full load (V_{rn}):

$$P_6 = \frac{Q_p}{V_{cp}} \left[\frac{moham c - cym\kappa u}{\kappa M} \right].$$

7. Deadweight ratio (P_{τ}) is a capacity of vessel's holds (W_{a}) to register tonnage of a vessel (Q_{a}) :

$$P_7 = \frac{W_c}{Q_p} \left[\frac{M^3}{m.mohaxa} \right].$$

8. Specific loading of a vessel (P_s) is a ratio of register tonnage of a vessel (Q_p) to water draft at vessel's full load (T_r):

$$P_8 = \frac{Q_p}{T_e} \left[\frac{m.mohhacka}{M} \right]$$

9. Specific fuel consumption per/km run of a vessel (P_a) is a ratio of fuel consumption per voyage (Q_{τ}) to the run of a vessel (L):







Pic. 1. Main window of software «Automated determination of breakeven of vessel's projects».

Линии судов:	?	Информация по судну Линия: Салонники Бартлетта
Салонники Бартлетта	<u> </u>	Направление: Балтийский Номер проекта: 613 Время оборота, сут.: 10
Волга		Расходы за оборот, \$: 21500
Dagora		Валексовая стоямость, тыс 8, 1300 Пуриопацьечески, тояне: 2300 Дадаей, тояне: 2350 нет. 1: 1936 егустовая внестновсть (крутто), (ВКТ) регистровая внестновсть (кетто), (ВКТ) рег.т. 936 Данеа, н. 54, 72 Данеа, н. 54, 75 Содана: 3, 55 Содана: 3, 55 Содана: 3, 55 Содана: 3, 55

Pic.2. Information about vessel's project.

Оперативные показатели Аналио финансово	го состояния Конкурентноспособность Рассч	ет эффективности Выбор наилучшего проекта
Объен перевозок	Фрактовая ставка	Безубыточная работа
експо оборотові судна на одноя на ленея теребозок	Рассчетная фрахтовая ставка	Доходы от перевозок
31	15.8695652173913	1131500
быен перевозок по направлению	Тайн-артерный экзивалонт	Годовые экоплуатационные расходы
71300	1500	666500
исто обсортов, выполняения суднов на	Себестриность перевозок	Годовые постоянные расходы
anai nnu	9.34782608695652	199950
236040	Уровны рантабальности расчетно- фрактовой ставки	Инничный объем перевозок
	69,7674418604651 %	21439.8601398602
		Изнания доходы
		340241.25874126
		Emphasisment without pressure current

Pic.3.Window «Calculation».

ювая линия		
Линия движения	i de la companya de l	
Период эксплуа	гации, сут.	
[
Ставка лисконт	корания в лолях	
инфляция, %		
1		
Сохранить	Сохранить и добавить судно	Выйти без сохранения

Pic.4. Input of information about a new line.

Линия	•	Тип судна	Номер проекта судна	Вреня оборота, сут
Расходы за оборот, долл	Доходы за оборот, долл	Балансовая стоиность, тыс. \$		
Грузоподъемность, т.	Дедвейт, т	 Регистровая вместиность (брутто), 	, рег.т. Регистровая вмес	гимость (нетто), рег.т
	Ширина, н	высота борта, н	Осадка, м	
Длина, м				

Pic.5. Input of information about a new vessel.

 $P_9 = \frac{Q}{L} \left[\frac{\kappa 2}{\kappa M} \right]$

Determination of tactical competitiveness of vessels.

Tactical competitiveness of i-th vessel (project) is determined by the totality of considered j-th indicators, presented earlier as a dimensionless quantity (K_{CFII}).

At the same time, it should be taken into account that competitiveness of a vessel by a separate indicator is higher; the higher is the value of the indicator. These include (F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6). In this case, the competitiveness of a vessel by a separate indicator (F_{u}) is calculated by the expression:

$$K_{CFji} = \frac{F_{ji}}{F_{\max ji}}$$

where F_{maxji} – maximum value of a j-th indicator in i-th vessels under consideration.

Competitiveness of the vessel in terms of F3 (prime cost of providing service) is determined by:

$$K_{CFji} = \frac{F_{jimin}}{F_{ji}},$$

where F_{ijmin} – minimum value of a *j*-th indicator, *i*. e. the smaller is this indicator, the higher is competitiveness of a vessel.

In both cases the competitiveness of a vessel on the best indicator is equal to 1.

Integral indicator of competitiveness of i-th vessel K_{ci} is determined as the weighted average of indicators under consideration. In case of equivalence of weighted categories:

$$K_{ci} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} K_{Fj}}{m} ,$$

where m=6.

The considered methods and calculations were the basis of software «Automating determination of breakeven of vessel's projects».

Because of the simplicity of calculations and the usual ease of interface, software tool is implemented in language Visual Basic for Application in the environment of Microsoft Office 2010.

The main program window is shown in Pic. 1.

After selecting a line from the dropdown list, an automatically loading of vessel's projects occurs. When choosing vessel, to the right in the window information is displayed about the respective project (Pic. 2).

When clicking on «Calculate», window with calculations opens, the title of which will be the name of the line and the vessel's project name (Pic. 3). Thus, the possibility is given to calculate breakeven of vessel's projects.

Calculation is carried out automatically when opening the window.

When clicking «New line», window to create a new line opens (Pic. 4). When clicking «Save», a new sheet with the name of a new line is created and its parameters are recorded in the first three cells.

When clicking «New vessel», the form to record the parameters of a new vessel opens (Pic. 5).

Window of financial indicators analysis is shown in Pic. 6.

Analysis occurs when clicking «Calculate» in the main window during calculations of all vessel's indicators.

• МИР ТРАНСПОРТА 03'14

инализ финансового состояния Эсновной капитал	Технике активы	Абсолютные ликандность	Срочная ликандность
6355	151049.922708292	1.21949328406927	1.53276835065647
Сырые и натерналы	Общие раскоды	Текущая леовидность	Сонансовая устойновость
1080.03225806452	3035.8064516129	1.53276835065647	0.478130548232005
Денежные федатаз	Чистая прибыль	Чистый оборотный капитал	Товарно-натериальный запасы
118678.421365731	50458.9069051566	56256.71771006	61.2569111608019
Fooderal acces	Валгоскочные обязательства	Кредиторосая задолженость	Оборачивоеность капитала
66150 0000831586	11211 8880166117	0.91237N299064125	10.4106121295135
CONTROL TO DURING SOCIAL PROPERTY	Deferminate samplement Th	Все активы	Рентабельность вал. прибыли
10091.7813830313	33029.7200415293	0.376678528590225	95.3358032538684
Собственный катитал	1	Рен-сть чистой прибыли	Рен-сть соб-го калитала
56813,9089051506		0.762686428030948	0.888143554294492
Konsultanoode Seconderent		Рентабельность тех, активое	
72513.4850367027		0.31176355252983	
		Рен-сть себестриности пр.	
текудие облательства		9635.19351258296	-
105593.205078232		1 minutes	

Pic.6. Analysis of financial indicators.

Window of efficiency calculation is illustrated in Pic. 7.

Then within the project on automation of breakeven of vessel's projects determination, charts of revenues, expenses, breakeven and other indicators, performance graphics, as well as a selection of the best projects of the vessels on the line will be implemented.

леративные показател	и Анализ финансового состояния	Конкурентноспособность	Рассчет эффективности	выбор наклучаето проекта
	Годовые эколиуатационные ресодан	чистая прибыль	Гадовой дожад	Анартизационные отчисловия
an 1	6850.49032258065	161241.296751243	208402.236261635	\$85000
a 2	7904.04425806452	165931.3088095	215018.18036994	585000
og 3	8440.48912645162	267908.530518113	238326.152274093	585000
ba 4	9368.9429303613	109812.145078308	221634 124228246	585000
Dog 5	10399.526652201	171634.055703758	224942.096282399	\$85000

Pic.7. Efficiency calculation.

Conclusion.

Methods and models, shown in the article, cover only part of the strategic management and can serve as a starting point for further development using modern software tools.

<u>Keywords:</u> transport, shipping company, strategic management, model, information, performance indicators, automation of administrative processes, international cargo transportation.

REFERENCES

1. Bulov, A.A., Novoselov, R. Yu., Sokolov, S. S. Automating the process of determining the breakeven of vessel's projects [Avtomatizatsiya protsessa opredeleniya bezubytochnosti proektov sudov. IT – Vchera, Segodnya, Zavtra – 2013: materialy nauch. – issled. konf. studentov i aspirantov f-ta informatsionnyh tehnologiy]. St. Petersburg, Izd-vo GUMRF im. admirala S. O. Makarova, 2013, pp. 83–89.

2. Bulov, A. A. Strategic management of the transport company in international transportation: study guide for thesis work [*Strategicheskiy menedzhment transportnoy kompanii na mezhdunarodnyh perevozkah. Uchebno-metod. posobie po vypolneniyu kursovoy raboty*]. St. Petersburg, SPGUVK publ., 2012, 37 p.

3. Nyrkov, A.P., Sokolov, S.S., Ezhgurov, V.N., Mal'tsev, V. A. Effective information model of transport processes [*Effektivnye informatsionnye modeli transportnyh protsessov*]. In: *Sbornik nauchnyh trudov Sworld*. Odessa, Kuprienko, 2012, Vol. 13, Iss. 4, pp. 38–42.

4. Nyrkov, A.P., Dmitrieva, T.V., Sokolov, S. S. Methods to improve the efficiency of port operations in the framework of international transport corridors [*Metody povysheniya effektivnosti raboty portov v ramkah mezhdunarodnyh transportnyh koridorov*]. Redaktsionnoizdatel'skiy tsentr zhurnala «Rechnoy transport XXI vek», Moscow, 2009, Vol. 1, Iss. 6 (42), pp. 75–77.

5. Nyrkov, A.P., Karavaev, V.I., Bagaeva, N.G., Karavaeva, E.D., Sokolov, S. S. Algorithms of automated control of technological processes of multimode transportation [*Algoritmy avtomatizirovannogo upravleniya tehnologicheskimi protsessami mul'timodal'nyh perevozok*]. *Vestnik gosudarstvennogo universiteta morskogo i rechnogo flota im. admirala S.O. Makarova*, 2010, Iss. 4, pp. 43–53.

6. Sokolov, C. C. Mathematical and algorithmic support of operational management of transport and logistics complex. Thesis of Ph.D. (Tech) candidate [Matematicheskoe i algoritmicheskoe obespechenie operativnogo upravleniya transportno-logisticheskimi kompleksami. Dis. kand. tehn. nauk]. St. Petersburg, 2011, 158 p.

7. Nyrkov, A.P., Sokolov, S.S., Ezhgurov, V.N., Mal'tsev, V. A. Automating the management of multimodal transportation [Avtomatizatsiya upravleniya mul'timodal'nymi perevozkami]. Vestnik gosudarstvennogo universiteta morskogo i rechnogo flota im. admirala S. O. Makarova, 2013, Iss. 2, pp. 74–78.

8. Sokolov, S.S., Ezhgurov, V. N. Optimization of freight flows in multimodal transportation [*Optimizatsiya gruzopotokov pri mul'timodal'nom soobschenii*]. In: Sbornik nauchnyh trudov Sworld. Odessa, Kuprienko, 2013, Vol. 8, Iss. 1, pp. 68–73.

9. Sokolov, S.S., Belyaeva, N. A. Functional structure of the automated control system of transport and storage infrastructure [Funktsional'naya struktura avtomatizirovannoy sistemy upravleniya transportnoskladskoy infrastrukturoy]. Vestnik gosudarstvennogo universiteta morskogo i rechnogo flota im. admirala S. O. Makarova, 2012, Iss. 3, pp. 124a-129.

10. Sokolov, S. S. Key aspects of the automation of transport objects' activities [*Osnovnye aspekty avtomatizatsii deyatel'nosti transportnyh obektov*]. Sovremennye problemy nauki i obrazovaniya, 2013, Iss. 5, 83 p.

11. Sokolov, S. S. Building a model of an automated system for planning logistic centers for multimodal transport [*Postroenie modeli avtomatizirovannoy sistemy planirovaniya razmescheniya transportno-logisticheskih tsentrov dlya mul'timodal'nyh perevozok*]. Redaktsionnoizdatel'skiy tsentr zhurnala «Rechnoy transport XXI vek», Moscow, 2012, Vol. 1, Iss. 55, pp. 75–79.

12. Sokolov, S.S., Koval>nogova, N. M. Practical application of discriminant analysis techniques for solving problems of the transport sector [*Prakticheskoe primenenie metodov diskriminantnogo analiza pri reshenii zadach transportnoy sfery*]. In: *Sbornik nauchnyh trudov Sworld*, Odessa, Kuprienko, 2013, T. 2, Iss. 3, pp. 50–55.



133

Статья поступила в редакцию / article received 12.01.2014 Принята к публикации / article accepted 15.03.2014